Comparison of Multiple Large Fluid-Structure Interaction Simulations in Virtual Reality
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1 INTRODUCTION

Scientific visualization tools are rapidly embracing the necessary challenge of simultaneously visualizing multiple parameterized simulation data sets [8]. In the new paradigm, scientists hope to understand parameter relationships and stochastic trends that exist in a parameter space [6,7]. At the same time, virtual reality (VR) environments have enabled exciting possible opportunities for exploring and comparing time varying spatial data sets [3]. Although VR offers a unique perspective to view 3D and 4D data, it requires high frame rates for interactivity and optimized use of precious GPU memory. Accurate simulations, on the other hand, are often very large due to dynamic unstructured mesh resolutions and small timesteps, making it difficult to simply render even one data set. To solve this, large data visualization frameworks often use data sampling and efficient rendering techniques to engage the GPU [1, 8]. Even then, VR is mostly used to add a stereoscopic view, and is rarely an integral part of interactive data instance comparison [3].

We present a framework for interactively comparing multiple large fluid structure interaction (FSI) simulations by extending a VR application called Bento Box. Our approach uses rendering, sampling, and streaming strategies to optimize memory on the GPU while achieving interactive frame rates. We analyze our approach by comparing 10 cardiac lead FSI simulations, totaling 39GB, in two separate VR environments. Surprisingly, visualizing spatial relationships and interaction between data parts in multimodel scenarios (i.e. fluid and structure interactions) is rarely explored [2]. Our contribution provides a case study for building a multi-instance VR comparison tool for FSI data sets that are too large to fit onto a GPU.

2 FLUID-STRUCTURE INTERACTION USING BENTO BOX

Fluid-Structure Interactions (FSI), the coupling of fluid and solid domains, are critical to the success of many engineering applications including developing medical devices, bridges, airplanes, and engines. Consider the case of analyzing how the stiffness and length of cardiac lead affects blood flow and tissue stress in the right atrium of a heart [5]. An engineer must discover what length and stiffness of the lead adequately attaches to the heart wall while minimizing the effect on the surrounding blood flow.

In order to understand the differences between multiple FSI simulations, like the cardiac lead model, we extend a general VR comparison tool called Bento Box. Bento Box allows users to interactively select and drill down to linked regions of interest across several instances. Fig. 1 shows the bimanual 3D interface specifically built for immersive VR environments where users can view and build a comparison grid. The columns in the grid represent instances and the rows linked sub-volumes of interest. The first row shows an overview of each simulation. From any cell, engineers can interactively create linked regions of interest (new rows) by clicking and dragging a bounding box inside the zoomed-in volume. Therefore, users can compare many spatially relevant views side-by-side based on user-defined volumes of interest (i.e. stress where the lead touches the heart and blood flow patterns elsewhere). Time steps can be compared by adding new columns for each visible instance.

Users interact by selecting and zooming into a set of cells using a laser pointer. They can then immersively reposition, resize, change color maps, change visible variables, scroll through time, and create new sub-volumes of interest to customize each linked row.

3 METHODS

Due to large data instance sizes, we cannot simply load all the data onto the GPU. In order to make Bento Box possible for multiple large FSI simulations, we implement different rendering, sampling, and streaming strategies for the solid and fluid domains.

The solid domain represents variables like position, displacement, stress, and pressure. A triangular mesh is first generated from the unstructured grid primitives provided by the simulation. Positional attributes like displacement and stress are stored in separate vertex arrays on the GPU. We then use standard mesh rendering techniques to show the solid volume textured by a user selected attribute, while a vertex shader displaces vertex positions based on the time step.

Since it is not possible to load all the solid data on to the GPU, we use a temporal sampling technique in order to maximize the mesh resolution for accuracy. Perceptual research suggests static views more helpful than animated views for data analysis tasks like comparison [4], so we assume solid data is streamed in on demand. This, however, presents a significant challenge as achieving efficient GPU memory management and fast update speeds is a complex balancing act. Our solution stores variables in their own vertex sampling, and streaming strategies to optimize memory on the GPU.

Figure 1: The Bento Box application displaying multiple instances and many views of a parameterized cardiac lead model.
Table 1: Characteristics and memory usage for the ten data instances.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Raw (MB)</th>
<th>Solid (MB)</th>
<th>Fluid (MB)</th>
<th>Total (MB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Processed</td>
<td></td>
<td>Proc. / GPU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GPU</td>
<td>Processed</td>
<td>GPU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-1145</td>
<td>5,252.6</td>
<td>892.6</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>115.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-1289</td>
<td>4,724.4</td>
<td>682.2</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>115.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108-1432</td>
<td>4,911.5</td>
<td>682.2</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>115.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-1145</td>
<td>2,933.7</td>
<td>660.9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>115.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-1289</td>
<td>2,933.7</td>
<td>660.9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>115.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-1432</td>
<td>2,933.7</td>
<td>660.9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>115.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112-1145</td>
<td>4,926.1</td>
<td>687.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>115.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112-1289</td>
<td>4,934.6</td>
<td>687.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>115.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112-1432</td>
<td>4,934.6</td>
<td>687.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>115.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116-1145</td>
<td>1,158.9</td>
<td>832.4</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>115.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116-1145</td>
<td>1,158.9</td>
<td>832.4</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>115.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Framerate data based on visual grid size.

Characteristics for ten visualized data instances are reported in Table 1. After processing the 39 GB of raw data, the amount of memory needed to accurately visualize the solid and fluid attributes is over 8 GB, exceeding a 4 GB GPU hardware limit on our 4-wall cave environment, a 2 processor Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU E5-2640 @2.50GHz machine with two NVIDIA Quadro K5000 cards and 192 GB of RAM. Streaming combined with the pathline sampling of the fluid, provides an extremely low memory footprint on the GPU, allowing us to visualize many instances and variables.

Using the Bento Box application as a testbed, we also report some rendering performance measures, summarized in Fig. 2. These timings were recorded on a 4 core processor Intel(R) CORE(TM) i7-7700HQ CPU @2.80GHz with 16 GB of RAM and a NVIDIA GeForce GTX 1070 graphics card, which was configured to drive an HTC Vive with a resolution of 2160 x 1200 pixels. The data sets are streamed into memory from a 128 GB M.2 PCIe SSD. The scatter plot in Fig. 2 shows a systematic sampling of Bento Box grid configurations that are possible for this 10-instance data ensemble. All possible grid arrangements that result in a total of 40 cells or less were sampled. The trend is above 30 frames-per-second for Bento Box arrangements of about 20 cells or less, and is in the 40–50 frames-per-second range for smaller arrangements.

5 Conclusion

Our extension to the Bento Box application allows the comparison of multiple large FSI simulations at interactive rates, a rare feat according to the scientific visualization literature. In fact, our sampling algorithms were able to reduce 39GB of simulation data to 1.22GB on the GPU, implying that the number of instances can scale further. We hope that this case study can inspire more work in combining large data visualization techniques with interactive exploration.
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